

QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
18. <u>Quality of the outputs and results</u> : tangible and intangible outcomes are of good quality				X	
19. <u>Integration into ongoing activities</u> : the extent to which project results/actions will be integrated into the partners activities				X	

Observations/Comments

ERASMUS+

KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICES

KA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR ADULT EDUCATION

MOVE-ON!

PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED OVER 50,
LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND DEVELOPING
EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH EDUCATION BY ART

2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314

Questionnaire for 12 months Project evaluation

Dear Partner,

For the purpose of the evaluation of the Erasmus+ MOVE-ON project, we would appreciate if you could kindly provide with your opinions on the project management and implementation so far. At the same time, you are kindly asked to note your expectations, wishes and concerns in connection with the next phases of the project.

Your opinion is very valuable for the project coordinator and the whole consortium to enable the continuing success of this project. Answering a questionnaire is not always a pure pleasure, nevertheless it is essential to ensure the quality of this project and its products. As such, this evaluation is an integral part of the project work plan.

Please do consider the following instructions when answering the questionnaire:

- Please cast your vote per each question, bearing in mind that:
 - 1 = Not sufficient
 - 2 = Sufficient
 - 3 = Good
 - 4 = Excellent
- Please provide also some written text in the boxes f, especially in the case of negative opinions and judgments: the explanation is important so that we may understand any issues and room for improvements
- Please complete anyone questionnaire per partner organization. If you wish, you can internally collect opinions from your colleagues and respond to the question on behalf of all staff involved in the project.



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
9. Understanding of the project: the team has a clear and shared understanding of the project rationale, short and long-term objectives				X	
10. Strong commitment to the project by all partners: willingness to solve emerging conflicts in a constructive way and engagement of the people in the implementation				X	
11. Mutual trust among the partners: development of positive attitude towards the consortium and the shared responsibilities				X	
12. Peer Support: effective peer support within each partner organisation and the actors involved				X	
Project Activities and Results					
13. Quality of the project: clear objectives, realistic timescale, consistency of the involved set of skills with the activities				X	
14. Implementation of the work plan: adherence to the work plan by all partners				X	
15. Fulfillment of tasks: the partners respect the division of tasks				X	
16. Respect for timetable of activities: the deadlines are respected				X	
17. Quality of the dissemination activities: the activities planned and implemented are able to give visibility to the project and to favour multiplier effect				X	



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
Project Management and Coordination					
1. Quality of Project management arrangements: the partners have a clear understanding of the division of tasks and responsibilities, the timing and the procedures				X	
2. Effectiveness of coordination by the project coordinator as for leadership and professional competences				X	
3. Effectiveness of the monitoring and evaluation processes: the procedures described in the quality plan are put into practice				X	
4. Effectiveness of quality arrangements described in the Quality Plan and satisfaction about Quality Manager activity				X	
5. Good communication/exchange of information with the NA: between coordinator and NA and between the coordinator and the consortium				X	
Project Consortium and Partnership					
6. Good flow of communication among the partners: it allows confidence, open debate and continuous information of the people involved				X	
7. Quality of the meetings: the team has enough time/opportunities to meet (virtual & face to face) to discuss, take decisions and solve problems				X	
8. Mutual exchange: there is an interesting exchange of skills and ideas among the Partners				X	

QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
18. <u>Quality of the outputs and results</u> : tangible and intangible outcomes are of good quality				X	
19. <u>Integration into ongoing activities</u> : the extent to which project results/actions will be integrated into the partners activities				X	

Observations/Comments

ERASMUS+

KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICES
KA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR ADULT EDUCATION

MOVE-ON!

PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED OVER 50,
LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND DEVELOPING
EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314

Questionnaire for 12 months Project evaluation

Dear Partner,

For the purpose of the evaluation of the Erasmus+ MOVE-ON project, we would appreciate if you could kindly provide with your opinions on the project management and implementation so far. At the same time, you are kindly asked to note your expectations, wishes and concerns in connection with the next phases of the project.

Your opinion is very valuable for the project coordinator and the whole consortium to enable the continuing success of this project. Answering a questionnaire is not always a pure pleasure, nevertheless it is essential to ensure the quality of this project and its products. As such, this evaluation is an integral part of the project work plan.

Please do consider the following instructions when answering the questionnaire:

- Please cast your vote per each question, bearing in mind that:
 - 1 = Not sufficient**
 - 2 = Sufficient**
 - 3 = Good**
 - 4 = Excellent**
- Please provide also some written text in the boxes f, especially in the case of negative opinions and judgments: the explanation is important so that we may understand any issues and room for improvements
- Please complete anyone questionnaire per partner organization. If you wish, you can internally collect opinions from your colleagues and respond to the question on behalf of all staff involved in the project.



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
9. Understanding of the project: the team has a clear and shared understanding of the project rationale, short and long-term objectives				X	
10. Strong commitment to the project by all partners: willingness to solve emerging conflicts in a constructive way and engagement of the people in the implementation				X	
11. Mutual trust among the partners: development of positive attitude towards the consortium and the shared responsibilities				X	
12. Peer Support: effective peer support within each partner organisation and the actors involved				X	
Project Activities and Results					
13. Quality of the project: clear objectives, realistic timescale, consistency of the involved set of skills with the activities				X	
14. Implementation of the work plan: adherence to the work plan by all partners				X	
15. Fulfilment of tasks: the partners respect the division of tasks				X	
16. Respect for timetable of activities: the deadlines are respected				X	
17. Quality of the dissemination activities: the activities planned and implemented are able to give visibility to the project and to favour multiplier effect				X	



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
1. Quality of Project management arrangements: the partners have a clear understanding of the division of tasks and responsibilities, the timing and the procedures				X	
2. Effectiveness of coordination by the project coordinator as for leadership and professional competences				X	
3. Effectiveness of the monitoring and evaluation processes: the procedures described in the quality plan are put into practice				X	
4. Effectiveness of quality arrangements described in the Quality Plan and satisfaction about Quality Manager activity				X	
5. Good communication/exchange of information with the NA: between coordinator and NA and between the coordinator and the consortium				X	
Project Consortium and Partnership					
6. Good flow of communication among the partners: it allows confidence, open debate and continuous information of the people involved				X	
7. Quality of the meetings: the team has enough time/opportunities to meet (virtual & face to face) to discuss, take decisions and solve problems				X	
8. Mutual exchange: there is an interesting exchange of skills and ideas among the Partners				X	

QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
18. <u>Quality of the outputs and results</u> : tangible and intangible outcomes are of good quality				X	
19. <u>Integration into ongoing activities</u> : the extent to which project results/actions will be integrated into the partners activities				X	

Observations/Comments

ERASMUS+

KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICES

KA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR ADULT EDUCATION

MOVE-ON!

PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED OVER 50,
LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND DEVELOPING
EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH EDUCATION BY ART

2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314

Questionnaire for 12 months Project evaluation

Dear Partner,

For the purpose of the evaluation of the Erasmus+ MOVE-ON project, we would appreciate if you could kindly provide with your opinions on the project management and implementation so far. At the same time, you are kindly asked to note your expectations, wishes and concerns in connection with the next phases of the project.

Your opinion is very valuable for the project coordinator and the whole consortium to enable the continuing success of this project. Answering a questionnaire is not always a pure pleasure, nevertheless it is essential to ensure the quality of this project and its products. As such, this evaluation is an integral part of the project work plan.

Please do consider the following instructions when answering the questionnaire:

- Please cast your vote per each question, bearing in mind that:
 - 1 = **Not sufficient**
 - 2 = **Sufficient**
 - 3 = **Good**
 - 4 = **Excellent**
- Please provide also some written text in the boxes f, especially in the case of negative opinions and judgments: the explanation is important so that we may understand any issues and room for improvements
- Please complete anyone questionnaire per partner organization. If you wish, you can internally collect opinions from your colleagues and respond to the question on behalf of all staff involved in the project.



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
9. Understanding of the project: the team has a clear and shared understanding of the project rationale, short and long-term objectives				X	
10. Strong commitment to the project by all partners: willingness to solve emerging conflicts in a constructive way and engagement of the people in the implementation				X	
11. Mutual trust among the partners: development of positive attitude towards the consortium and the shared responsibilities				X	
12. Peer Support: effective peer support within each partner organisation and the actors involved				X	
Project Activities and Results					
13. Quality of the project: clear objectives, realistic timescale, consistency of the involved set of skills with the activities				X	
14. Implementation of the work plan: adherence to the work plan by all partners				X	
15. Fulfilment of tasks: the partners respect the division of tasks				X	
16. Respect for timetable of activities: the deadlines are respected				X	
17. Quality of the dissemination activities: the activities planned and implemented are able to give visibility to the project and to favour multiplier effect				X	



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
1. Quality of Project management arrangements: the partners have a clear understanding of the division of tasks and responsibilities, the timing and the procedures				X	
2. Effectiveness of coordination by the project coordinator as for leadership and professional competences				X	
3. Effectiveness of the monitoring and evaluation processes: the procedures described in the quality plan are put into practice				X	
4. Effectiveness of quality arrangements described in the Quality Plan and satisfaction about Quality Manager activity				X	
5. Good communication/exchange of information with the NA: between coordinator and NA and between the coordinator and the consortium				X	
Project Consortium and Partnership					
6. Good flow of communication among the partners: it allows confidence, open debate and continuous information of the people involved				X	
7. Quality of the meetings: the team has enough time/opportunities to meet (virtual & face to face) to discuss, take decisions and solve problems				X	
8. Mutual exchange: there is an interesting exchange of skills and ideas among the Partners				X	

QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
18. <u>Quality of the outputs and results</u> : tangible and intangible outcomes are of good quality					
19. <u>Integration into ongoing activities</u> : the extent to which project results/actions will be integrated into the partners activities					

Observations/Comments

ERASMUS+

KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICES

KA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR ADULT EDUCATION

MOVE-ON!

PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED OVER 50,
LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND DEVELOPING
EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH EDUCATION BY ART

2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314

Questionnaire for 12 months Project evaluation

Dear Partner,

For the purpose of the evaluation of the Erasmus+ MOVE-ON project, we would appreciate if you could kindly provide with your opinions on the project management and implementation so far. At the same time, you are kindly asked to note your expectations, wishes and concerns in connection with the next phases of the project.

Your opinion is very valuable for the project coordinator and the whole consortium to enable the continuing success of this project. Answering a questionnaire is not always a pure pleasure, nevertheless it is essential to ensure the quality of this project and its products. As such, this evaluation is an integral part of the project work plan.

Please do consider the following instructions when answering the questionnaire:

- Please cast your vote per each question, bearing in mind that:
 - 1 = Not sufficient**
 - 2 = Sufficient**
 - 3 = Good**
 - 4 = Excellent**
- Please provide also some written text in the boxes f, especially in the case of negative opinions and judgments: the explanation is important so that we may understand any issues and room for improvements
- Please complete anyone questionnaire per partner organization. If you wish, you can internally collect opinions from your colleagues and respond to the question on behalf of all staff involved in the project.



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
9. Understanding of the project: the team has a clear and shared understanding of the project rationale, short and long-term objectives				✓	
10. Strong commitment to the project by all partners: willingness to solve emerging conflicts in a constructive way and engagement of the people in the implementation				✓	
11. Mutual trust among the partners: development of positive attitude towards to the consortium and the shared responsibilities				✓	
12. Peer Support: effective peer support within each partner organisation and the actors involved			✓		
Project Activities and Results					
13. Quality of the project: clear objectives, realistic timescale, consistency of the involved set of skills with the activities				✓	
14. Implementation of the work plan: adherence to the work plan by all partners				✓	
15. Fulfillment of tasks: the partners respect the division of tasks				✓	
16. Respect for timetable of activities: the deadlines are respected				✓	
17. Quality of the dissemination activities: the activities planned and implemented are able to give visibility to the project and to favour multiplier effect				✓	



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
1. Quality of Project management arrangements: the partners have a clear understanding of the division of tasks and responsibilities, the timing and the procedures				✓	
2. Effectiveness of coordination by the project coordinator as for leadership and professional competences				✓	
3. Effectiveness of the monitoring and evaluation processes: the procedures described in the quality plan are put into practice				✓	
4. Effectiveness of quality arrangements described in the Quality Plan and satisfaction about Quality Manager activity				✓	
5. Good communication/exchange of information with the NA: between coordinator and NA and between the coordinator and the consortium					
Project Consortium and Partnership					
6. Good flow of communication among the partners: it allows confidence, open debate and continuous information of the people involved				✓	
7. Quality of the meetings: the team has enough time/opportunities to meet (virtual & face to face) to discuss, take decisions and solve problems				✓	
8. Mutual exchange: there is an interesting exchange of skills and ideas among the Partners				✓	

QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
18. <u>Quality of the outputs and results</u> : tangible and intangible outcomes are of good quality				X	
19. <u>Integration into ongoing activities</u> : the extent to which project results/actions will be integrated into the partners activities				X	

Observations/Comments

and summary

Idea: prepare European conclusion of the national Reports on Women aged over 50 and Adult Educators

ERASMUS+

KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICES

KA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR ADULT EDUCATION

MOVE-ON!

PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED OVER 50,
LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND DEVELOPING
EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH EDUCATION BY ART

2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314

Questionnaire for 12 months Project evaluation

Dear Partner,

For the purpose of the evaluation of the Erasmus+ MOVE-ON project, we would appreciate if you could kindly provide with your opinions on the project management and implementation so far. At the same time, you are kindly asked to note your expectations, wishes and concerns in connection with the next phases of the project.

Your opinion is very valuable for the project coordinator and the whole consortium to enable the continuing success of this project. Answering a questionnaire is not always a pure pleasure, nevertheless it is essential to ensure the quality of this project and its products. As such, this evaluation is an integral part of the project work plan.

Please do consider the following instructions when answering the questionnaire:

- Please cast your vote per each question, bearing in mind that:
 - 1 = Not sufficient
 - 2 = Sufficient
 - 3 = Good
 - 4 = Excellent
- Please provide also some written text in the boxes f, especially in the case of negative opinions and judgments: the explanation is important so that we may understand any issues and room for improvements
- Please complete anyone questionnaire per partner organization. If you wish, you can internally collect opinions from your colleagues and respond to the question on behalf of all staff involved in the project.



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
9. <u>Understanding of the project</u> : the team has a clear and shared understanding of the project rationale, short and long-term objectives			X		
10. <u>Strong commitment to the project</u> by all partners: willingness to solve emerging conflicts in a constructive way and engagement of the people in the implementation			X		
11. <u>Mutual trust among the partners</u> : development of positive attitude towards the consortium and the shared responsibilities				X	
12. <u>Peer Support</u> : effective peer support within each partner organisation and the actors involved			X		
Project Activities and Results					
13. <u>Quality of the project</u> : clear objectives, realistic timescale, consistency of the involved set of skills with the activities				X	
14. <u>Implementation of the work plan</u> : adherence to the work plan by all partners			X		
15. <u>Fulfillment of tasks</u> : the partners respect the division of tasks			X		
16. <u>Respect for timetable of activities</u> : the deadlines are respected			X		
17. <u>Quality of the dissemination activities</u> : the activities planned and implemented are able to give visibility to the project and to favour multiplier effect				X	



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
Project Management and Coordination					
1. <u>Quality of Project management arrangements</u> : the partners have a clear understanding of the division of tasks and responsibilities, the timing and the procedures				X	
2. <u>Effectiveness of coordination</u> by the project coordinator as for leadership and professional competences				X	
3. <u>Effectiveness of the monitoring and evaluation processes</u> : the procedures described in the quality plan are put into practice			X		
4. <u>Effectiveness of quality arrangements</u> described in the Quality Plan and satisfaction about Quality Manager activity			X		
5. <u>Good communication/exchange of information</u> with the coordinator and the consortium NA: between coordinator and NA and between the					N/A
Project Consortium and Partnership					
6. <u>Good flow of communication</u> among the partners: it allows confidence, open debate and continuous information of the people involved			X		
7. <u>Quality of the meetings</u> : the team has enough time/opportunities to meet (virtual & face to face) to discuss, take decisions and solve problems				X	
8. <u>Mutual exchange</u> : there is an interesting exchange of skills and ideas among the Partners				X	

QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
18. <u>Quality of the outputs and results</u> : tangible and intangible outcomes are of good quality			✓	✓	
19. <u>Integration into ongoing activities</u> : the extent to which project results/actions will be integrated into the partners activities				✓	

Observations/Comments

The cooperation of all partners and involvement in the project is very positive and I look forward to the second part of the project.
 Thank you for positive and effective management!

International College

ERASMUS+
 KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
 AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICES
 KA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR ADULT EDUCATION

MOVE-ON!
 PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED OVER 50,
 LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND DEVELOPING
 EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH EDUCATION BY ART
 2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314

Questionnaire for 12 months Project evaluation

Dear Partner,

For the purpose of the evaluation of the Erasmus+ MOVE-ON project, we would appreciate if you could kindly provide with your opinions on the project management and implementation so far. At the same time, you are kindly asked to note your expectations, wishes and concerns in connection with the next phases of the project.

Your opinion is very valuable for the project coordinator and the whole consortium to enable the continuing success of this project. Answering a questionnaire is not always a pure pleasure, nevertheless it is essential to ensure the quality of this project and its products. As such, this evaluation is an integral part of the project work plan.

Please do consider the following instructions when answering the questionnaire:

- Please cast your vote per each question, bearing in mind that:
1 = Not sufficient
2 = Sufficient
3 = Good
4 = Excellent
- Please provide also some written text in the boxes f, especially in the case of negative opinions and judgments: the explanation is important so that we may understand any issues and room for improvements
- Please complete anyone questionnaire per partner organization. If you wish, you can internally collect opinions from your colleagues and respond to the question on behalf of all staff involved in the project.



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
9. Understanding of the project: the team has a clear and shared understanding of the project rationale, short and long-term objectives			✓		
10. Strong commitment to the project by all partners: willingness to solve emerging conflicts in a constructive way and engagement of the people in the implementation			✓		
11. Mutual trust among the partners: development of positive attitude towards the consortium and the shared responsibilities			✓		
12. Peer Support: effective peer support within each partner organisation and the actors involved			✓		
Project Activities and Results					
13. Quality of the project: clear objectives, realistic timescale, consistency of the involved set of skills with the activities			✓		
14. Implementation of the work plan: adherence to the work plan by all partners			✓		
15. Fulfilment of tasks: the partners respect the division of tasks				✓	
16. Respect for timetable of activities: the deadlines are respected			✓		
17. Quality of the dissemination activities: the activities planned and implemented are able to give visibility to the project and to favour multiplier effect			✓		



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
1. Quality of project management arrangements: the partners have a clear understanding of the division of tasks and responsibilities, the timing and the procedures				✓	
2. Effectiveness of coordination by the project coordinator as for leadership and professional competences				✓	
3. Effectiveness of the monitoring and evaluation processes: the procedures described in the quality plan are put into practice				✓	
4. Effectiveness of quality arrangements described in the Quality Plan and satisfaction about Quality Manager activity				✓	
5. Good communication/exchange of information with the NA: between coordinator and NA and between the coordinator and the consortium				✓	
Project Consortium and Partnership					
6. Good flow of communication among the partners: it allows confidence, open debate and continuous information of the people involved				✓	
7. Quality of the meetings: the team has enough time/opportunities to meet (virtual & face to face) to discuss, take decisions and solve problems				✓	
8. Mutual exchange: there is an interesting exchange of skills and ideas among the Partners				✓	

QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
18. <u>Quality of the outputs and results</u> : tangible and intangible outcomes are of good quality				X	
19. <u>Integration into ongoing activities</u> : the extent to which project results/actions will be integrated into the partners activities				X	

Observations/Comments

ERASMUS+
KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICES
KA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR ADULT EDUCATION

MOVE-ON!
PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED OVER 50,
LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND DEVELOPING
EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314

Questionnaire for 12 months Project evaluation

Dear Partner,

For the purpose of the evaluation of the Erasmus+ MOVE-ON project, we would appreciate if you could kindly provide with your opinions on the project management and implementation so far. At the same time, you are kindly asked to note your expectations, wishes and concerns in connection with the next phases of the project.

Your opinion is very valuable for the project coordinator and the whole consortium to enable the continuing success of this project. Answering a questionnaire is not always a pure pleasure, nevertheless it is essential to ensure the quality of this project and its products. As such, this evaluation is an integral part of the project work plan.

Please do consider the following instructions when answering the questionnaire:

- Please cast your vote per each question, bearing in mind that:
 - 1 = **Not sufficient**
 - 2 = **Sufficient**
 - 3 = **Good**
 - 4 = **Excellent**
- Please provide also some written text in the boxes f, especially in the case of negative opinions and judgments: the explanation is important so that we may understand any issues and room for improvements
- Please complete anyone questionnaire per partner organization. If you wish, you can internally collect opinions from your colleagues and respond to the question on behalf of all staff involved in the project.



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
Project Management and Coordination					
1. Quality of Project management arrangements: the partners have a clear understanding of the division of tasks and responsibilities, the timing and the procedures		X			
2. Effectiveness of coordination by the project coordinator as for leadership and professional competences			X		
3. Effectiveness of the monitoring and evaluation processes: the procedures described in the quality plan are put into practice					
4. Effectiveness of quality arrangements described in the Quality Plan and satisfaction about Quality Manager activity					
5. Good communication/exchange of information with the NA: between coordinator and NA and between the coordinator and the consortium				X	
Project Consortium and Partnership					
6. Good flow of communication among the partners: it allows confidence, open debate and continuous information of the people involved				X	
7. Quality of the meetings: the team has enough time/opportunities to meet (virtual & face to face) to discuss, take decisions and solve problems				X	
8. Mutual exchange: there is an interesting exchange of skills and ideas among the Partners				X	



QUESTIONS/CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	Observations
9. Understanding of the project: the team has a clear and shared understanding of the project rationale, short and long-term objectives				X	
10. Strong commitment to the project by all partners: willingness to solve emerging conflicts in a constructive way and engagement of the people in the implementation				X	
11. Mutual trust among the partners: development of positive attitude towards to the consortium and the shared responsibilities				X	
12. Peer Support: effective peer support within each partner organisation and the actors involved				X	
Project Activities and Results					
13. Quality of the project: clear objectives, realistic timescale, consistency of the involved set of skills with the activities				X	
14. Implementation of the work plan: adherence to the work plan by all partners				X	
15. Fulfillment of tasks: the partners respect the division of tasks			X		
16. Respect for timetable of activities: the deadlines are respected				X	
17. Quality of the dissemination activities: the activities planned and implemented are able to give visibility to the project and to favour multiplier effect			X		