

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				X
		Realistic timescales				X
		Appropriate selection of delegates				X
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				X
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				X
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				X
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				X
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				X

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				x
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				x
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				x
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				x

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				x
		Agreement amongst partners				x
		Effective communication amongst partners				x
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				x

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				>
		Suitability of the working venue				x
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				x
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				x

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				✓
		Realistic timescales				✓
		Appropriate selection of delegates				✓
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				✓
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				✓
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				✓
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				✓
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting			✓	
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting			✓	
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				✓
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				✓
		Agreement amongst partners				✓
		Effective communication amongst partners				✓
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				✓
		Suitability of the working venue				✓
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				✓
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				✓
		Realistic timescales				✓
		Appropriate selection of delegates				✓
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				✓
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				✓
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				✓
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				✓
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				✓
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				✓
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				✓
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				✓
		Agreement amongst partners				✓
		Effective communication amongst partners				✓
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				✓
		Suitability of the working venue				✓
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				✓
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				✓
		Realistic timescales				✓
		Appropriate selection of delegates				✓
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				✓
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				✓
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				✓
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				✓
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				✓
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				✓
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				✓
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				✓
		Agreement amongst partners				✓
		Effective communication amongst partners				✓
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				✓
		Suitability of the working venue				✓
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				✓
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				X
		Realistic timescales				X
		Appropriate selection of delegates				X
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination			X	
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator			X	
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project			X	
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				X
		Clear explanation of administrative rules			X	

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				X
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				X
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				X
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				X
		Agreement amongst partners				X
		Effective communication amongst partners				X
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				X
		Suitability of the working venue				X
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				X
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				✓
		Realistic timescales				✓
		Appropriate selection of delegates				✓
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination			✓	
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator			✓	
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				✓
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				✓
		Clear explanation of administrative rules			✓	

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				✓
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				✓
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				✓
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				✓
		Agreement amongst partners				✓
		Effective communication amongst partners				✓
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				✓
		Suitability of the working venue				✓
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				✓
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				✓
		Realistic timescales				✓
		Appropriate selection of delegates				✓
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				✓
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				✓
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				✓
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				✓
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting			✓	
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				✓
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				✓
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project			✓	

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner			✓	
		Agreement amongst partners				✓
		Effective communication amongst partners				✓
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				✓
		Suitability of the working venue				✓
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				✓
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				✓
		Realistic timescales				✓
		Appropriate selection of delegates				✓
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				✓
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				✓
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				✓
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				✓
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				✓
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				✓
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				✓
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				✓
		Agreement amongst partners				✓
		Effective communication amongst partners				✓
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				✓
		Suitability of the working venue				✓
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				✓
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				✓

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				X
		Realistic timescales				X
		Appropriate selection of delegates				X
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				X
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				X
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				X
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				X
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				X

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				X
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				X
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				X
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				X
		Agreement amongst partners				X
		Effective communication amongst partners				X
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				X
		Suitability of the working venue				X
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				X
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				X
		Realistic timescales				X
		Appropriate selection of delegates				X
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				X
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator			X	
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				X
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project			X	
		Clear explanation of administrative rules			X	

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				X
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				X
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				X
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				X
		Agreement amongst partners				X
		Effective communication amongst partners				X
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				X
		Suitability of the working venue				X
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				X
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

**ERASMUS+**KA2 - COOPERATION FOR INNOVATION
AND THE EXCHANGE OF GOOD PRACTICESKA204 - STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS FOR
ADULT EDUCATION**MOVE-ON!**PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION OF WOMEN, AGED
OVER 50, LOW-QUALIFIED, UNEMPLOYED, AND
DEVELOPING EDUCATORS' PROFILE THROUGH
EDUCATION BY ART
2018-1-PT01-KA204-047314**1st TRANSNATIONAL PROJECT MEETING**Meeting in Panevezys, Lithuania. October 24th and 25th, 2018

A grade should be given on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 the highest.

If you give 1 or 2, please explain why and if it is possible to give an advice.

1.	Structure, content and delivery of the Meeting		1	2	3	4
1.1	Organisation of the Meeting	Evidence of clear planning				X
		Realistic timescales				X
		Appropriate selection of delegates				X
1.2	The quality of the project coordination	Clarity of project coordination				X
		Quality of the management of monitoring and evaluation by the project coordinator				X
		The project partners are made aware of the administrative structure of the project				X
1.3	Meeting	Clear explanation of the project				X
		Clear explanation of administrative rules				X

Personal remarks about the theme:



2.	Quality of the transnational element		1	2	3	4
2.1	Input into the Meeting by the project partners	The extent to which each partner contributes to the Meeting				X
		The evidence of partners sharing roles and responsibilities during the Meeting				X
2.2	Links between the aims of the Meeting and the overall aims of the project	Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project and event rationale and the short term and long term objectives of the Meeting				X
		Clear evidence in the Meeting programme of real synergy with the overall objectives of the project				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

3.	Quality of the partnership		1	2	3	4
3.1	Transnational partnership	Commitment to the project by each partner				X
		Agreement amongst partners				X
		Effective communication amongst partners				X
		Development of trust and positive attitudes				X

Personal remarks about the theme:

4.	Quality of the domestic arrangements		1	2	3	4
4.1	Quality and appropriateness of the domestic arrangements and the comfort factor	Attention to practical details and catering				X
		Suitability of the working venue				X
		Quality of the overnight accommodation				X
		Evidence of special requirements (dietary for example) being met				X

Personal remarks about the theme:
